IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re patent application of:

M. Ikeda Confirmation No. 5252

Serial No: 09/986,412 Group Art Unit: 2617

Filed: 11/08/2001 Examiner: R. Peaches

For: Mobile Terminal Device and Method of Updating Program

Box: STATUS INQUIRY Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

STATUS INOUIRY

Sir:

Please provide the undersigned with a statement of the status of the aboveidentified patent application and the approximate date another Office Action can be expected. In a communication dated May 7, 2007 (copy attached) resulting from a telephone conference with the Examiner on April 30, 2007, the Examiner wrote:

"The applicant respectfully brought to the Examiner's attention that claims 10-20 were inadvertently not addressed in the Office Action dated February 9, 2007. Therefore, the Examiner will withdraw the Office Action and resulpmit another action."

No further action has been received; therefore a status report is requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Michael E. Whitham Reg. No. 32,635

Date: July 13, 2007

Whitham, Curtis, Christofferson & Cook, PC

11491 Sunset Hills Road - #340

Reston, VA 20190 703/787-9400 Customer No. 30743



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandras, Virginia 22313-1450 www.napin.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO
09/986,412	11/08/2001	Masahisa Ikeda	PF-2905/NEC/US	5252
05743 7590 052222007 WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON & COOK, P.C. 11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD			EXAMINER	
			PEACHES, RANDY	
SUITE 340 RESTON, VA 20190		-ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2617		
			MAIL DA'TE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/22/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/986,412	IKEDA, MASAHISA	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Randy Peaches	2617	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):					
(1) Randy Peaches (3)					
(2) <u>C_Lamant Whitham</u> (4)	ove."				
Date of Interview 30 April 2007					
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to 1)□ applicant 2)⊠ applica	ant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No lf Yes, brief description					
Claim(s) discussed 10-20					
Identification of prior art discussed:					
Agreement with respect to the claims $\mathfrak{f}[\boxtimes]$ was reached. $\mathfrak{g}[\square]$ was not reached. $\mathfrak{f}[\square]$ N/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant respectfully brought to the Examiner's attention that claims 10-20 were madvertently not addressed in the office action dated 2/9/2007. Therefore, the Examiner will withdraw the office action and resulting and r					

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MALLING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

JEAN GE

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Ekaminer's signature, if required